

Governmental Foresight: Principles and Examples



Jim Dator



Hawaii Research Center for Futures Studies


Department of Political Science

University of Hawaii at Manoa

Honolulu, Hawaii

www.futures.hawaii.edu





Conference on the Administration of Justice, Hawaii
Supreme Court, American Judicature Society, 1972



1973



1980

Conference of
CHIEF JUSTICES

Comprehensive Planning in the Hawaii Judiciary

1981

Wayne Yasutomi, "Emerging Issues Analysis in the Hawaii Judiciary, 1981

Anna Yue and Sohail Inayatullah, "Information Scanning in the Hawaii Judiciary," Hawaii 1984
Hou Kanawai: Justice Horizons





Chief Justice Harry Carrico,
Chief Administrator Robert Baldwin,
Kathy Mays,
of the Supreme Court of Virginia



Judicial Futures


Commission on the Future of Virginia's Judicial System
Richmond, Virginia,
November 4, 1987



Twenty Minutes into the Future
Virginia Assembly on the Future of the Courts
University of Virginia
December 1, 1989

THE JUDICIARY'S STRATEGIC PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

VIRGINIA



Environmental Scan

Supreme Court, Commonwealth of Virginia

Jim Dator, Wendy Schultz, Sally Taylor

1995

Environmental Scan

Supreme Court, Commonwealth of Virginia

Jim Dator, Jill Keesbury, Devin Nordberg

1997

Something Old, Something New

Environmental Scan

Judiciary of the Commonwealth of Virginia

Robin Brandt, Jim Dator,

Mark Justman, Kaipo Lum

2000

Crumbling Futures and Faint Hopes

Environmental Scan

Judiciary of the Commonwealth of Virginia

Robin Brandt, David Brier, Jim Dator, Avigal

Lemberger, Kaipo Lum, Mark Nickum

2002

Strong Nations, Weak States, Sinking Debts and Rising Oceans

Environmental Scan

Judiciary of the Commonwealth of Virginia

Charmaine Crockett, Jim Dator, Jake Dunagan,

Alexis Frederick, Yenisel Rodriguez, Jan Zastrow

2005



Courts and Futures Planning: Justice 2020: A Review Essay

F. Owen Holmes, Jr.¹

Chief Justice's Commission on the Future of the Courts (1992). *Reinventing Justice: 2020*. Boston: Supreme Judicial Court. 118 pages.

Commission on Justice in the Twenty-first Century (1991). *Final Report. Doing Utah Justice*. Salt Lake City: Supreme Court of Utah. 61 pages.

Commission on the Courts in the 21st Century (1990). *Michigan Courts in the 21st Century*. Lansing: Michigan Legislature. 79 pages.

Commission on the Future of the Courts, et al. (1992). *2020 Vision: Symposium on the Future of California's Courts—Summary of Papers*. San Francisco.


Commission on the Future of Virginia's Judicial System (1989). *Courts in Transition*. Richmond: Supreme Court of Virginia. 74 pages.

Commission to Study the Future of Maine's Courts (1993). *New Dimensions for Justice*. Portland. 127 pages.

The Future and the Courts of Illinois: Conference Summary (1992). Springfield: Supreme Court of Illinois. 70 pages.

To prepare for the 21st century and the demands that immigration, demographics, and technology will place upon state judicial systems, strategic planning efforts in several of the states have commenced "to better provide effective, fair and responsive justice to all Americans" (Dator and Rodgers, 1991: V). Nearly two-thirds of the states have embarked on a process of long-range planning for the improvement of the judicial systems over the next 30 years (Dahlin, 1993: 7A). Six state reports, Illinois, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Utah, and Virginia, will be reviewed. California's report is being drafted. (References to California are based on 2020 Vision, the symposium papers delivered in December of 1992.) A summary of common issues in the six state reports and California's summary of papers, along with an analysis of key reform suggestions within a context of the public interest, will be discussed.

¹ F. Owen Holmes, Jr., is assistant to the president, California State University, Fullerton.





Futures Research Quarterly

Winter 1993
Volume 9, Number 4

IN THIS ISSUE

The Futures of State Courts: Guest Editor's Introduction
by Sohail Inayatullah

**American State Courts, Five Tsunamis and Four
Alternative Futures**
by Jim Dator

**Shaping the Future and the Courts: Challenges from
Science and Technology**
by Clement Bezold

**The Future of Cultural Forms of Dispute: Resolution in
the Formal Legal System**
by Sharon Rogers

**Culture in Transition: Alternative Futures of Immigration,
Ethnic Composition, and Community Conflict
within the US**
by Wendy L. Schultz

Inventing the Future of the Courts: A Critical View
by Frances Kahn Zernans

The Future of the Justice System: A View from the Bar
by Paul Alston

SPECIAL FEATURES

Around the World

Book Review



Futures Research Quarterly

Spring 1994
Volume 10, Number 1

IN THIS ISSUE

The Futures of State Courts: Guest Editor's Introduction
by Sohail Inayatullah

**Futures Planning in State Courts: On a Clear Day You
Still Can't See Very Far**
by Donald Dahlin

**Linking the Present with the Future: The Politics of
Futures Research in Judicial Bureaucracies**
by Sohail Inayatullah

Futures Research and the Judiciary: Virginia's Experience
by Kathy Mays

Visioning in the Courts: Florida's Sixth Judicial Circuit
by J. William Lockhart

**Justice in the Next Millennium: The Work of the
Georgia Court Futures Vanguard**
by LaVerne Williamson

1692 to 2022: 330 Years in the Massachusetts Third Branch
by Stephen P. Johnson

2020: New Models of Justice for 50 Million Californians
by Stephen P. Johnson

Judicial Foresight Bibliography

SPECIAL FEATURES

Around the World


Book Reviews



In Korea:
National Futures Forum in the National Assembly
National Foresight Center



Draft of Flow of the Sustainable Hawai'i 2050 Process



Governmental Foresight: Principles and Examples



Jim Dator

Hawaii Research Center for Futures Studies

Department of Political Science

University of Hawaii at Manoa

Honolulu, Hawaii

www.futures.hawaii.edu